

An overview of Cuban seagrasses

Centro de Investigaciones Marinas, Universidad de La Habana, Calle 16 No. 114, Miramar, Playa, Havana, 11300, Cuba.

* Corresponding author email: <beatriz@cim.uh.cu>.

Marine Ecology and Conservation in Cuba

Guest Editors: Joe Roman, Patricia González-Díaz

Date Submitted: 17 February, 2017. Date Accepted: 22 November, 2017. Available Online: 19 December, 2017.

Beatriz Martínez-Daranas * Ana M Suárez

ABSTRACT.—Here, we present an overview of the current knowledge of Cuban seagrasses, including distribution, status, threats, and efforts for their conservation. It has been estimated that seagrasses cover about 50% of the Cuban shelf, with six species reported and Thalassia testudinum K.D. Koenig being the most dominant. Seagrasses have been studied primarily in three areas in Cuba (northwest, north-central, and southwest). Thalassia testudinum and other seagrasses exhibit spatial and temporal variations in abundance, and updating of their status and distribution is needed. The main threat to Cuban seagrass ecosystems is low seawater transparency due to causes such as eutrophication and erosion. High salinities limit their distribution in the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago, partly the result of freshwater dams and roads. Seagrass meadows play important ecological roles and provide many ecosystem services in Cuba, with efforts underway to preserve this ecosystem. Research and management projects are directed toward integrated coastal zone management, including a ban on trawl fisheries and the extension of marine protected areas to contain more seagrass meadows. In addition to updating species distributions, it is urgent that managers and researchers in Cuba examine the resilience of this ecosystem in the face of climate change.

Seagrasses are the only angiosperms that have evolved for living in permanent immersion in seawater (den Hartog and Kuo 2006). They form ecosystems—seagrass meadows—that are highly productive, host a wide variety of marine organisms, and provide multiple goods and services. Costanza et al. (2014) estimated that seagrass/ algae beds offer services with a value of \$28,916 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, taking into account climate regulation, erosion control, nutrient cycling, refuge, food production, raw materials, genetic resources, recreation, and cultural services. In Cuba, Baisre (1985) recognized that seagrass ecosystems are very important for sustaining fisheries, along with coral reefs and mangroves. Based on an evaluation using 2002 carbon emission data, Cuban seagrasses sequester an estimated 33% of the carbon emitted by the country (Martínez-Daranas 2010).

Despite their importance, seagrasses have experienced decline at a global scale for decades, placing them among the most vulnerable ecosystems on the planet (Waycott et al. 2009). The causes of decline have been attributed to anthropogenic activities, such as coastal development, deterioration of water quality, invasive species, and climatic change, as well as to natural causes (Larkum et al. 2006).

Given the significance of this ecosystem to Cuban marine biodiversity and the economy, there has been an effort in the last five decades to understand the characteristics, dynamics, and status of seagrasses. These efforts have led to several conservation initiatives, including research and monitoring, establishment of marine protected areas, coastal management actions, etc. Here, we provide an overview of the current knowledge of Cuban seagrasses, the threats to this ecosystem, and future prospects for research and conservation.

DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE OF SEAGRASS SPECIES IN CUBA

The earliest papers mentioning Cuban marine angiosperms focused on the taxonomy of marine plants (Howe 1918) and higher plants (Sauget 1946). The most common species of marine angiosperms along the Cuban shelf are *Thalassia testudinum* K.D. Koenig, *Syringodium filiforme* Kützing, and *Halodule wrightii* Ascherson (den Hartog, 1970, Suárez et al. 2015). *Thalassia testudinum* is the dominant species in most seagrass meadows, where it is often monospecific. *Halophila decipiens* Ostenfeld and *Halophila engelmannii* Ascherson can be found in turbid waters, such as bordering channels between mangrove keys, or deeper waters in bays (den Hartog 1970, Buesa 1974, Martínez-Daranas et al. 2013). Both species have a discontinuous distribution along the northern coast, from the western zone to Nuevitas Bay in north-central Cuba. Along the south coast, *H. engelmannii* has been found from western Cuba to the Gulf of Ana María, and *H. decipiens* also found from western Cuba, but only as far east as Cienfuegos Bay.

Halophila ovalis (R. Brown) J. D. Hooker was found in 2016 off Santa María Key along the north-central Cuban shelf, surrounded by *Rhizophora mangle* Linneaus stands (Hernández-Albernas and Borges-Casas 2017). This species, usually reported in the Indian and Pacific oceans, was also found in a shallow lagoon on the west shore of Antigua in the Caribbean West Indies (Short et al. 2010). It is only the second report of this nonnative species in the western Atlantic Ocean.

Halophila baillonis Ascherson has been reported in Cuba (Howe 1918, Sauget 1946), but its presence needs confirmation. Urquiola Cruz and Pérez Hernández (2009) consider that specimens identified as *H. baillonii* by Sauget (1946) are actually *H. decipiens*. Howe's (1918) original identification may also have been in error.

Ruppia maritima Linnaeus, which is typical in environments with high salinity variation (den Hartog and Kuo 2006), has been found in the Cuban keys, coastal lagoons, and bays (Guimarais Bermejo and González de Zayas 2009, Guimarais Bermejo et al. 2011). Yet the taxonomy of the genus *Ruppia* is not completely clear, and the identification of several specimens of other species in this genus as *R. maritima* is common worldwide (den Hartog and Kuo 2006). For example, one study of the genus from different sheltered bays and lagoons with marine or brackish water along the Mexican Yucatán Peninsula report specimens morphologically distinct from *R. maritima*. As a result, den Hartog et al. (2016) described a new species, *Ruppia mexicana* den Hartog and Van. The genus requires further study in Cuba.

Besides *H. wrightii*, several authors have reported *Halodule beaudettei* den Hartog for Cuba (den Hartog 1970, Buesa 1975, Alcolado 1990, Urquiola Cruz and Novo Carbo 2009). The main characteristics used for the identification of species in this




Figure 1. Study area for Cuban seagrasses: (A) Cuban marine shelf, (B) Gulf of Batabanó, (C) Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago.

genus are the shape of the leaf tip and the width of the leaves (den Hartog 1970, den Hartog and Kuo 2006, van Tussenbroek et al. 2010). However, leaf morphology has shown a certain degree of variability, and reproductive structures are not known for all species (Phillips and Meñez 1988, Martínez-Daranas 2002); therefore, it is recommended that this genus be analyzed by molecular tools for elucidating species.

The development of seagrass meadows in Cuba is favored by its wide marine shelf of 53,126 km² (Fig. 1A). This shelf is not uniform, with four broad, shallow zones (i.e., <30 m deep, with extensive portions 5–6 m deep): (1) northwestern zone, including Los Colorados Archipelago (Fig. 1A); (2) north-central zone, with the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago (Fig. 1A, 1C); (3) southwestern zone, with the Gulf of Batabanó and two archipelagos (Fig. 1A, B); and southeastern zone with the Ana María and Guacanayabo gulfs and Jardines de la Reina Archipelago (Fig. 1A, Claro 2007). These zones are separated by terraces with a narrow shelf and high exposure, where rocky shores and hard bottoms typically prevail, such as off northern Havana, and southern Cienfuegos (Fig. 1A). Cuba also has more than 4000 small islands and mangrove keys, most in the aforementioned archipelagos (Fig. 1A–C),

Table 1. Values (mean or range) of foliar biomass (g m^{-2} , dry weight), foliar production (g $m^{-2} d^{-1}$, dry weight)
and shoot density (m ⁻²) of seagrass species in different areas of Cuban shelf. Species: <i>Tt: Thalassia testudinum</i> ;
Sf. Syringodium filiforme; Hw. Halodule wrightii; Hd: Halophila decipiens; He: Halophila engelmannii.
Sources: ¹ Buesa (1975), ² Martínez-Daranas et al. (2014), ³ Martínez-Daranas et al. (2005), ⁴ Martínez-Daranas
(2007), ⁵ Tussenbroek et al. (2014), ⁶ Arias-Schreiber et al. (2008).

	Foliar biomass				Foliar production	Shoots density				
Area	Tt	Sf	Hw	Hd	Не	Tt	Tt	Sf	Hw	Не
Northwestern	190.0 ¹	3.5 ¹		0.141	0.25 ¹		14-497 ²	2-425 ²	$11-447^{2}$	0-37 ²
North Havana	$73.7 - 193.4^3$					2.6-4.33	610-1,2673			
North-central	95.64	7.44	4.34				7324			
	80.75					2.05	663.35			
	78.35					1.85	656.7 ⁵			
Southwestern	12-1026						148-589 ²	$5-648^{2}$	524-572 ²	
Southeastern							39-767 ²	1-2152		

yielding a coastline of 5746 km (Claro 2007). Those islands, along with shallow reefs, bays, gulfs, inlets, and channels, provide relative hydrographic stability, retaining sediments adequate for the establishment of seagrasses.

Where the shelf is wider, seagrasses cover extensive areas. The northwestern Cuban shelf has an estimated area of 2740 km², 75% of which is dominated by *T. testudinum* beds (Buesa 1974, 1975). The north-central area of the shelf spans 8311 km² with an estimated 5625 km² covered by seagrasses (Alcolado et al. 2007). A more precise map of marine habitats using remote sensing was generated for the southwestern zone. It indicated that of the 21,305 km² area, seagrass covered 13,818 km², representing 64% of the Gulf of Batabanó (Cerdeira-Estrada et al. 2008). In the southeastern zone, only the Gulf of Ana María has been mapped: of 9398 km², 24% (2255 km²) is covered by seagrasses (Ventura Díaz and Rodríguez Cueto 2012). Collectively, these reports indicate that about 50% of the Cuban shelf is covered by seagrass meadows, consistent with Alcolado's (2007) estimation. There is little published information about seagrasses on the eastern zone of Cuba. The shelf here is rather narrow, and seagrass meadows appear only in more sheltered areas, such as bays and reef lagoons (i.e., Castellanos et al. 2004, Martínez-Daranas et al. 2005, 2014, Zavas et al. 2006, Moreira et al. 2009). The large wetlands in this area, such as Gulf of Guacanayabo and Nipe Bay, deserve further examination.

The abundance of Cuban seagrasses varies in the different areas of the shelf. As *T. testudinum* is the most common and climax species, most existing data is about this species. Other species usually have lower amounts of biomass, although shoot density could be similar or higher than *Thalassia*'s in some cases (Table 1).

In the northwestern zone, Buesa (1975) found differences in total biomass of *T. testudinum* depending on depth: *T. testudinum* was found to depths of 14 m, though it is more abundant in the first 5 m. For the other species, there we no statistical differences in biomass according to depth: *H. engelmannii* was found to 14.4 m, *H. decipiens* to 24.3 m, and *S. filiforme* to 16.5 m depth. Other studies in the Cuban shelf are limited to shallower areas.

Temporal variability in density, biomass, and production of *T. testudinum* has been assessed in several areas of the Cuban shelf. *Thalassia testudinum* growth is positively correlated with temperature and radiance. Although there are only two

climatic seasons in Cuba (a rainy season from May to October, and a dry season from November to April; Planos et al. 2013), *T. testudinum*'s foliar biomass and shoot density generally increases from March to April, or the spring, and decreases from January to February in the winter (Buesa 1974, Martínez-Daranas et al. 2005, 2009a). Buesa (1974) found that temperature and light quantity and quality appear to be the major limiting factors for depth distribution of this species.

Evidence of phosphorus limitation was found in *T. testudinum* leaves (Martínez-Daranas 2010) in the southwestern zone of the Cuban shelf (Gulf of Batabanó), where the mean phosphorus concentration in leaves was lower than that recorded at other locations, such as Florida Bay and Barbados (Table 2). The mean C:P ratio (1297.3 to 1) was three times the estimated mean for marine angiosperms of the world (435:1) according to Duarte (1990). Phosphorus limitation could explain lower values of leaf biomass in this area (Table 1), as well as the absence of species with higher nutrient requirements, such as S. filiforme and H. wrightii. Phosphorus scarcity can be explained by the fine sediments that are rich in calcium carbonate (oolithic sands) found in extensive areas of Gulf of Batabanó. Carbonate sediments have a high capacity for sequestering phosphates from aquatic media, and this mechanism is negatively related with particle size (Erftemeijer and Middelburg 1993). In the tropics, nutrient limitation is likely a permanent situation that can reduce the growth, productivity, and biomass of seagrasses (Hemminga and Duarte 2000). This limitation could reduce seagrass resilience to climate change, hurricanes, and other stressors. It will be important to assess the limitation of nutrients in seagrasses and to examine if this limitation is reinforced by the increase of seawater temperature.

BIODIVERSITY ASSOCIATED WITH CUBAN SEAGRASSES

Eighty species of macroalgae (15 Rhodophyta, 11 Ochrophyta, and 54 Chlorophyta) have been found in the seagrass meadows of the Gulf of Batabanó (Alcolado 1990). In the Sabana-Camagüey bays (north-central zone), seagrass meadows are occupied by 216 macroalgae species (149 Rhodophyta, 36 Ochrophyta, and 31 Chlorophyta; Martínez-Daranas et al. 2008). The most common macroalgae species in seagrass meadows are of the orders Bryopsidales, Dasycladales, Cladophorales (Chlorophyta), and Ceramiales (Rhodophyta). Well-represented genera include *Halimeda, Caulerpa, Penicillus, Udotea*, and *Laurencia* sensu lato (Suárez et al. 2015).

Benthic fauna that live on seagrasses have also been studied in several areas of the Cuban shelf. Alcolado (1990) reported a rich seagrass-associated benthic fauna with 40 species of Porifera, 10 Anthozoa, 118 Mollusca, 79 Decapoda (Crustacea), and 50 Echinodermata species (6 Holothuroidea, 4 Asteroidea, 7 Echinoidea, and 33 Ophiuroidea) in the Gulf of Batabanó. In Sabana-Camagüey soft bottoms, which have extensive seagrasses, Alcolado et al. (1998) found 66 Porifera, 6 Anthozoa, 140 Mollusca, 100 Decapoda (Crustacea), 90 Polychaeta, 19 Tunicata, and 53 Echinodermata species. Species richness of macroalgae, large benthic invertebrates, and fishes is higher in areas with seagrasses than in soft bottoms without marine vegetation along the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago (Alcolado et al. 1999, Martínez-Daranas 2007) and in the Gulf of Batabanó (Arias-Schreiber et al. 2008).

Many organisms that live on seagrasses depend on detritus, while others are direct grazers (Valentine and Duffy 2006). Two endangered species that feed on seagrasses in Cuba are the Antillean manatee, *Trichechus manatus* Linnaeus, 1758 (Navarro

Table 2. Reported values [mean, standard deviaion (SD), and/or range (r) when available] of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus content, and nutrients relations of
Thalassia testudinum leaves collected in several areas, and for several seagrass species. * Note that Duarte (1990) consisted of 27 species.

Source	C (%)	N (%)	P (%)	C:P	C:N	N:P	Zone
Patriquin (1972)		2.2 (r: 1.69–3.05)	0.15 (r: 0.093–0.229)				Barbados
Fourqurean and Zieman (2002)	36.9 (SD 2.5, r: 29.4–43.3)	1.82 (SD 0.40, r: 0.88–3.96)	0.113 (SD 0.037, r: 0.048–0.243)	937.4 (SD 311.5, r: 373.4–1901.3)	24.6 (SD 5.2, r: 11.1–47.1)	40.2 (SD 17.8, r: 15.4–107.1)	Florida Bay
Terrados et al. (2008)		r: 1.91–2.25	r: 0.03–0.13				Gulf of Mexico
Martínez-Daranas et al. (unpubl data)	33.4 (SD 3.1, r: 26.3–38.0)	1.9 (SD 0.4, r: 1.0–2.6)	0.074 (SD 0.03, r: 0.047–0.123)	1,271.9 (SD 357.5, r: 614.5–1873.2)	21.0 (SD 4.2, r: 13.8–30.0)	61.7 (SD 18.3, r: 33.8–94.9)	Gulf of Batabanó, Cuba
Duarte (1990)*	33.6 (SD 0.31)	1.92 (SD 0.05)	0.23 (SD 0.011)	474	24	20	30 locations worldwide

Table 3. Minimum, maximum, and critical values of several environmental variables obtained along 104 sites in Sabana-Camagüey archipelago between 2001 and 2003 (Fernández-Vila and Chirino 1993; Martínez-Daranas, 2007). ¹Value considered limiting for seagrasses development in general; ²Value considered limiting for a determined species of marine angiosperm.

Variable	Minimum	Maximum	Critical	Species
Visibility (Secchi disc extended	0.00	17.00	1.001	Multiple
horizontally, m)			1.70^{2}	Thalassia testudinum
			1.50 ²	Halodule wrightii
Current speed (cm s ⁻¹)	2.50	40.00	40.00 ¹	Multiple
			15.00^{2}	Halodule wrightii
Salinity	25.00	57.50	43.30 ¹	Multiple
Salinity variation	1.00	22.50	9.50 ¹	Multiple
			7.00^{2}	Syringodium filiforme
Chemical oxygen demand in	0.40	29.30	5.621	Multiple
seawater (mg $O_2 L^{-1}$)			5.08 ²	Thalassia testudinum
Total nitrogen in seawater (µM)	2.41	310.45	172.73 ¹	Multiple
Total carbon in superficial	0.60	4,503.00	2,997.60 ²	Syringodium filiforme
sediments (μ M g ⁻¹)			3,690.20 ²	Halodule wrightii

et al. 2014), and the green turtle, *Chelonia mydas* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Azanza Ricardo et al. 2013). Several species of economic importance in Cuba feed in seagrasses, or inhabit them as part of their lifecycle, such as the spiny lobster, *Panulirus argus* (Latreille, 1804) (Puga et al. 2013), the gastropod *Lobatus gigas* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Suárez et al. 1990), and many commercial fishes (Sierra et al. 2001).

CONSERVATION OF CUBAN SEAGRASSES

Given their wide distribution and susceptibility to changing environmental conditions, seagrasses are often used as biological indicators of water quality (Larkum et al. 2006). The assessment of Cuban seagrass ecosystems in relation to environmental variables has been performed in a few cases. A 2-yr study of 104 sites in the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago found that the development of seagrasses is limited when underwater visibility is <1 m, salinity is >43, chemical oxygen demand >5.6 mg O₂ L^{-1} , and the total dissolved nitrogen is >173 μ M (Table 3). Different species show different ranges of environmental tolerance; T. testudinum is more sensitive to light reduction than H. wrightii, H. wrightii is more vulnerable to stronger currents, and S. filiforme can tolerate lower salinity (Table 3; Martínez-Daranas 2007). Seawater can be turbid from suspended sediments in bays (Betanzos Vega et al. 2013) or from eutrophication as a result of runoff from the main island (Alcolado et al. 2007). Some shallow bays located in the east of the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago, such as Los Perros, Jigüey, and La Gloria Bays (Fig. 1C), are hyperhaline in drought periods. Seawater salinity can be higher than 60, and temperature higher than 30 °C during summer (Fernández-Vila and Chirino 1993). Those conditions can limit the presence of seagrass meadows and other marine organisms (Alcolado et al. 1999, Martínez-Daranas 2007). This natural condition has been aggravated by the construction of river dams that reduce freshwater flow to bays and near coastal roads that connect

the main island to tourism areas, limiting the interchange of seawater between the bays and the ocean.

Cuba had two sites included in the Caribbean Coastal Marine Productivity (CARICOMP) monitoring network that were sampled from 1994 to 2002, both located in Cayo Coco, in the north-central zone of the Cuban shelf (Table 1). Productivity and biomass of *T. testudinum* declined with decreasing water clarity, mainly because of increasing sedimentation (van Tussenbroek et al. 2014).

Cerdeira-Estrada et al. (2008) estimated that between 1985 and 2005 about 26% of the seagrass cover of the Gulf of Batabanó, about 5580 km², disappeared, mainly near the coast of the main island. This decline was potentially due to coastal erosion caused by human activities, such as deforestation of red mangrove, *Rhizophora mangle* Linnaeus (Hernández-Zanuy 2010). Erosion can increase turbidity, affecting submerged aquatic vegetation.

The role of seagrasses as a sediment stabilizer after hurricanes has been assessed. Moreira et al. (2009) found that *H. wrightii* was more resistant than macroalgae in Cienfuegos Bay (Fig. 1A) after Hurricane Dennis in 2005, despite a low salinity of 5. Guimarais et al. (2013) assessed Hurricane Paloma's effects on seagrasses along the Jardines de la Reina Archipelago in 2008 and found that seagrass meadows were only partially affected by sediment siltation and the uprooting of rhizomes.

Thalassia testudinum meadows have been found in areas of Guanahacabibes Gulf (Torres Conde and Martínez-Daranas 2017) and in Jardines de la Reina Archipelago (Guimarais et al. 2013), both with low coastal anthropogenic development and clear waters. Although these areas have great interest for conservation and fisheries, no extensive assessment of the state of their seagrasses has been conducted (Martínez-Daranas et al. 2009b).

In the absence of long time-series data, making forecasts about the impacts of climate change on seagrasses is challenging. Nevertheless, some negative effects are expected based on the analysis of identified threats and the state of seagrasses in the Gulf of Batabanó and the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago (Martínez-Daranas 2010).

Increases in seawater temperature and the alteration of precipitation regimes (drought or heavy rains) are likely to have a strong effect on shallow bays with low interchange of water, such as the eastern sector of the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago. Sea level rise will produce erosion in coastal areas, diminishing the amount of light that reaches the bottom or provoking siltation. Such effects are likely to be most acute where erosion already exists, such as the north coast of Gulf of Batabanó. The increasing intensity of tropical storms can uproot plants, and siltation or erosion is likely to affect Cuban seagrass meadows. In the Gulf of Batabanó, which has a wide shallow shelf with few geographic obstacles, seagrasses are likely to be affected by the hydrodynamic energy associated with severe storms.

Many previous studies have recognized that the status of seagrasses is threatened by anthropogenic impacts (Claro 2007, Martínez-Daranas et al. 2009a, Martínez-Daranas 2010, González-Díaz 2015). Several projects and programs for the integrated management of coastal areas are taking place in the country to achieve sustainable development and conservation of natural resources. Those projects include environmental education, reducing waste water discharges from industry, development of wastewater treatment plants in areas with new tourist facilities, replanting red mangroves in areas where the coastline is deteriorated, and banning fish trawling throughout the Cuban shelf since 2012 (Alcolado et al. 2007, Areces and Martínez-Iglesias 2008, Hernández-Zanuy 2010, González-Díaz 2015, Menéndez Carrera et al. 2015). Studies focusing on adaptation to climate change include analysis of the vulnerability of the Cuban coastal zone, taking into account the state of coral reef crests, seagrass meadows, and mangrove forests as protection against the increases of sea level and the impact of hurricanes (Iturralde-Vinent and Serrano Méndez 2015). The Cuban National Center for Protected Areas has been monitoring coastal-marine ecosystems, gathering information to drive the establishment of marine protected areas of Cuba (Cobián et al. 2013, Hernández-Fernández et al. 2013). As a result of the combined efforts, the area of protected seagrass meadows has increased (Hernández Avila 2014).

The International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) Red List of Endangered Species includes marine angiosperm species in different categories of conservation. *Halophila engelmannii* is categorized as "Near Threatened," and the rest of the species found in Cuba are considered of "Least Concern" (IUCN 2017). The Red List for Cuban Flora (González Torres et al. 2016) classifies *H. decipiens* and *H. engelmannii* as "Threatened," and the rest of Cuban seagrass species as of "Least Concern." The category "Threatened" is preliminary, and it is used when the opinion of experts indicates that the species confronts a high extinction risk in the wild and could be assigned to one of the categories of threat established by the IUCN. The analysis is not supported by data following the standards of the IUCN (González Torres et al. 2016).

FUTURE PROSPECTS

The importance of seagrass ecosystems for Cuban marine biodiversity and ecosystem services highlights the importance of new research. This ecosystem certainly deserves more attention from scientists and the public. We propose the following future research needs:

- Understanding of the distribution and abundance of Cuban seagrass meadows is neither complete nor new; new maps informed by remote sensing are needed. The sustained use of a dedicated geographic information system would lead to more efficient monitoring of changes in subtidal habitats and better coastal zone management.
- The physiology, reproduction, and genetic variability of Cuban seagrass populations should be studied to better understand the connectivity and resilience of this ecosystem in the face of climate change and other threats.
- In the context of climate change, the capacity of seagrasses as carbon sink should be carefully assessed.
- To achieve effective management for seagrass preservation, research should address the factors (natural or anthropogenic) that cause deterioration of this ecosystem, monitoring the most vulnerable areas. Existing environmental legislation should be used to protect these systems.
- Environmental education should be used to increase stakeholder and public awareness of the importance of seagrasses, their threats and their protection.

Lastly, the medicinal properties of secondary metabolites obtained from marine angiosperms have been recently explored. The extracts of *T. testudinum* and *S. filiforme* are rich in polyphenols, which have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and analgesic properties (Regalado et al. 2012, Menéndez et al. 2014). These plants could become an important source of natural antioxidants, with potential applications in pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and food industries. The understanding of the physiology and reproduction of marine angiosperms will be crucial for their sustainable use.

Acknowledgments

The authors recognize the Global Environmental Facility (GEF) and the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) for essential funding. We thank the Cuban Institute of Oceanology for help in acquiring data for this paper, CM Duarte for his contribution on seagrass research in Cuba and for data on nutrients in *Thalassia testudinum* leaves, and the people who devoted their efforts to studying and protecting Cuban seagrasses. We are also deeply thankful to J Roman, D Greger, H Abernathy, JE Serafy, MD Hanisak, and two anonymous reviewers, who contributed to improving this paper.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alcolado PM, editor. 1990. El bentos de la macrolaguna del Golfo de Batabanó. La Habana: Editorial Academia.
- Alcolado PM. 2007. Diversidad, utilidad y estado de conservación de los biotopos marinos. *In:* Claro R, editor. La biodiversidad marina de Cuba. La Habana: Instituto de Oceanología. p. 18–36. Available from: http://www.redciencia.cu/cdbio/
- Alcolado PM, Espinosa J, Martínez-Estalella N, Ibarzábal D, del Valle R, Martínez-Iglesias JC, Abreu M, Hernández-Zanuy A. 1998. Prospección del megazoobentos de los fondos blandos del Archipiélago Sabana-Camagüey, Cuba. Avicennia. 8/9:87–104.
- Alcolado PM, García EE, Arellano-Acosta ME. 2007. Ecosistema Sabana-Camagüey. Estado actual, avances y desafíos en la protección y uso sostenible de la biodiversidad. La Habana: Editorial Academia.
- Alcolado PM, García EE, Espinosa N. 1999. Protecting biodiversity and establishing sustainable development in the Sabana-Camagüey ecosystem. GEF/PNUD Project Sabana-Camagüey, CUB/92/G31. Madrid: CESYTA S.L.
- Areces AJ, Martínez-Iglesias JC. 2008. Gestión Integrada de la Zona Marino Costera (GIZMC) en Cuba. Estudio de caso: el Golfo de Batabanó. Ser Oceanol. 4:17–55. Accessed 20 August, 2009. Available from: http://oceanologia.redciencia.cu
- Arias-Schreiber M, Wolff M, Cano M, Martínez-Daranas B, Marcos Z, Hidalgo G, Castellanos S, del Valle R, Abreu M, Martínez JC, et al. 2008. Changes in benthic assemblages of the Gulf of Batabanó (Cuba): results from cruises undertaken during 1981–85 and 2003–04. PANAMJAS. 3(1):49–60.
- Azanza Ricardo J, Ibarra Martín ME, González Sansón G, Abreu Grobois FA, Eckert KL, Espinosa López G, Oyama K. 2013. Nesting ecology of *Chelonia mydas* (Testudines: Cheloniidae) on the Guanahacabibes Peninsula, Cuba. Rev Biol Trop. 61(4):1935–1945. https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v61i4.12869
- Baisre JA. 1985. Los complejos ecológicos de pesca: definición e importancia en la administración de las pesquerías cubanas. FAO Fish Rep. 327:251–272.
- Betanzos Vega A, Capetillo Piñar N, Lopeztegui Castillo A, Martínez Daranas B. 2013. Variación espacio-temporal de la turbidez y calidad en cuerpos de agua marina de uso pesquero, región norcentral de Cuba, 2008–2010. Ser Oceanol. 12:24–35. Accessed 13 November, 2013. Available from: http://oceanologia.redciencia.cu/
- Buesa RJ. 1974. Population and biological data on turtle grass (*Thalassia testudinum* König, 1805) on the northwestern Cuban shelf. Aquaculture. 4:207–226. https://doi. org/10.1016/0044-8486(74)90035-0

- Buesa RJ. 1975. Population biomass and metabolic rates of marine angiosperms on the northwestern Cuban shelf. Aquat Bot. 1:11–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(75)90004-2
- Castellanos S, Lopeztegui A, de la Guardia E. 2004. Monitoreo reef check en el arrecife coralino "Rincón de Guanabo", Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. 25(3):219-230.
- Cerdeira-Estrada S, Lorenzo-Sánchez S, Areces-Mallea A, Martínez-Bayón C. 2008. Mapping of the spatial distribution of benthic habitats in the Gulf of Batabanó using Landsat-7 images. Cienc Mar. 34(2):213–222. https://doi.org/10.7773/cm.v34i2.1293
- Claro R. 2007. El Archipiélago y la plataforma marina de Cuba. *In:* Claro R, editor. La biodiversidad marina de Cuba. La Habana: Instituto de Oceanología. p. 10–18. Available from: http://www.redciencia.cu/cdbio/
- Cobián D, Perera S, Pérez A, Aguilar S, Álvarez A, Hernández Z, Espinosa L, Salvat H, Alcalá A, Esquivel M, et al. 2013. Caracterización de los ecosistemas costeros al norte del Área Protegida de Recursos Manejados Península de Guanahacabibes, Cuba. Rev Mar Cos. 5:37–55.
- Costanza R, de Groot RS, Sutton P, van der Ploeg S, Anderson SJ, Kubiszewski I, Farber S, Turner RK. 2014. Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. Glob Environ Change. 26:152–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002
- Den Hartog C. 1970. The seagrasses of the World. Amsterdam: North Holland Pub. Co.
- Den Hartog C, Kuo J. 2006. Taxonomy and biogeography of seagrasses. *In:* Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM, editors. Seagrass: biology, ecology and conservation. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer. p. 1–23.
- den Hartog C, van Tussenbroek BI, Wong JGR, Mercado Ruaro P, Márquez Guzmán JG. 2016. A new *Ruppia* from Mexico: *Ruppia mexicana* n. sp. Aquat Bot. 131:38–44. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2016.02.005
- Duarte CM. 1990. Seagrass nutrient content. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 67:201–207. https://doi. org/10.3354/meps067201
- Erftemeijer PLA, Middelburg JJ. 1993. Sediment-nutrient interactions in tropical seagrass beds: a comparison between a terrigenous and a carbonate sedimentary environment in South Sulawesi (Indonesia). Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 102:187–198. https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps102187
- Fernández-Vila L, Chirino AL. 1993. Atlas oceanográfico de las aguas de los Archipiélagos Sabana y Camagüey. Centro de Ciencia y Tecnología Marinas, Departamento de Investigaciones de Oceanografía Física, Instituto Cubano de Hidrografía, La Habana, 235 p.
- González-Díaz P, editor. 2015. Manejo integrado de zonas costeras en Cuba. Estado actual, retos y desafíos. La Habana: Imagen Contemporánea.
- González Torres LR, Palmarola A, González Oliva L, Bécquer ER, Testé E, Barrios D. 2016. Lista roja de la flora de Cuba. Bissea. 10(1):1–352.
- Guimarais Bermejo M, González de Zayas R. 2009. Aspectos ecológicos de *Ruppia maritima* (Ruppiaceae) en Laguna Larga, Cayo Coco, Cuba. Mesoamericana. 13(3):40–50.
- Guimarais Bermejo M, Zúñiga Ríos A, Rodríguez Cueto Y. 2011. Distribución de la familia Ruppiaceae en Cuba. Nuevas consideraciones para su actualización en la flora del país. Mesoamericana. 15(1):25–31.
- Guimarais M, Zúñiga A, Pina F, Matos F. 2013. Efectos del Huracán Paloma sobre los pastos marinos del archipiélago Jardines de la Reina, Cuba. Rev Biol Trop. 61(3):1425–1432. https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v61i3.11969
- Hemminga MA, Duarte CM. 2000. Seagrass ecology. Cambridge: University of Cambridge.
- Hernández Avila A. 2014. Estado actual de la biodiversidad marino-costera, en la región de los Archipiélagos del Sur de Cuba. La Habana. Cuba: Centro Nacional de Áreas Protegidas, Impresos Dominicanos s.r.l.
- Hernández-Albernas JI, Borges-Casas CC. 2017. Primer registro de la angiosperma marina *Halophila ovalis* en las Antillas Mayores. Rev Mex Biodivers. 88(4). In press..
- Hernández-Fernández L, Olivera Y, González-De Zayas R, Salvat Torres H, Guimarais Bermejo M, Ventura Díaz Y. 2013. Caracterización fisicoquímica e inventario de especies del Gran

Banco de Buena Esperanza, golfo de Guacanayabo, Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. 33(2):43–57. Accessed 30 May, 2014. Available from: http://ojs.uh.cu/InvestigacionesMarinas/index. php/RIM

- Hernández-Zanuy AC. 2010. El manglar y la protección de los recursos marinos y costeros en Cuba. *In:* Hernández-Zanuy A, Alcolado PM, editors. La biodiversidad en ecosistemas marinos y costeros del litoral de Iberoamérica y el cambio climático I: Memorias del Primer Taller de la Red CYTED BIODIVMAR; 2010; La Habana, Cuba: Instituto de Oceanología. p. 132–142.
- Howe MA. 1918. The marine algae and marine spermatophytes of the Tomas Barrera expedition to Cuba. Smith Misc Coll. 68(11):1–13.
- Ionin AS, Pavlidis YA, Avello O. 1977. Geología de la plataforma marina de Cuba. Moscow: Editorial Nauka. [Russian]
- Iturralde-Vinent MA, Serrano Méndez H. 2015. Peligros y vulnerabilidades de la zona marinocostera de Cuba: Estado actual y perspectivas ante el cambio climático hasta el 2100. La Habana: Editorial Academia.
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources). 2017. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-3. Accessed 29 April, 2017. Available from: http://www.iucnredlist.org
- Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM. 2006. Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer. 691 p.
- Martínez-Daranas B. 2002. Variaciones morfológicas de *Halodule wrightii* Ascherson (Cymodoceaceae) en Cuba. Oceánides. 17(2):93–101.
- Martínez-Daranas B. 2010. Los pastos marinos de Cuba y el cambio climático. *In:* Hernández-Zanuy A, Alcolado PM, editors. La biodiversidad en ecosistemas marinos y costeros del litoral de Iberoamérica y el cambio climático I: Memorias del Primer Taller de la Red CYTED BIODIVMAR; 2010; La Habana, Cuba: Instituto de Oceanología. p. 43–60.
- Martínez-Daranas B. Características y estado de conservación de los pastos marinos en áreas de interés del Archipiélago Sabana-Camagüey, Cuba. PhD dissertation. La Habana: Universidad de la Habana, 2007. Available from: http://www.oceandocs.org/bitstream/1834/3405/1/Martinez-Daranas%20ThesisPhD.pdf
- Martínez-Daranas B, Alcolado PM, Duarte CM. 2005. Leaf production and shoot dynamics of *Thalassia testudinum* by a direct census method. Aquat Bot. 81:213–224. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2004.12.003
- Martínez-Daranas B, Cabrera R, Pina-Amargós F. 2009a. Spatial and seasonal variability of *Thalassia testudinum* in Nuevitas Bay, Cuba. Rev Mar Cos. 1:9–27.
- Martínez-Daranas B, Cano M, Clero L. 2009b. Los pastos marinos de Cuba: estado de conservación y manejo. Ser Oceanol. 5:24–44. Available from: http://oceanologia.redciencia.cu/
- Martínez-Daranas B, Esquivel M, Guimarais Bermejo M, Perdomo ME, Alfonso Y, de la Guardia E, Hernández Z, Castellanos S, Macías D. 2013. Distribución de *Halophila engelmanni* Ascherson (Hydrocharitaceae) en Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. 33(2):21–27. Accessed 30 December, 2013. Available from: http://ojs.uh.cu/InvestigacionesMarinas/index.php/ RIM
- Martínez-Daranas B, Hernández Avila A, Valdés Pérez JA. 2014. Resultados del programa de pastos marinos. *In:* Hernández Avila A, editor. Estado actual de la biodiversidad marino-costera en la región de los Archipiélagos del Sur de Cuba. Centro Nacional de Áreas Protegidas, La Habana: Impresos Dominicanos s.r.l. p. 51–58.
- Martínez-Daranas B, Cabrera R, Perdomo ME, Esquivel M, Hernández M, Clero L, Suárez AM, Díaz-Larrea J, Guimaraes M, Areces A, et al. 2008. Inventario de la flora marina del Archipiélago Sabana-Camagüey, Cuba. Bot Complut. 32:49–62.
- Menéndez Carrera L, Arellano Acosta M, Alcolado PM. 2015. ¿Tendremos desarrollo socioeconómico sin conservación de la biodiversidad? Experiencias del Proyecto Sabana-Camagüey. La Habana, Cuba: Editorial AMA.

- Menéndez R, García T, Garateix A, Morales RA, Regalado EL, Laguna A, Valdés O, Fernández MD. 2014. Neuroprotective and antioxidant effects of *Thalassia testudinum* extract BM-21, against acrylamide-induced neurotoxicity in mice. J Pharm Pharmacogn Res. 2:53–62.
- Moreira A, Barcia S, Cabrales Y, Suárez AM, Fujii MT. 2009. El impacto del huracán Dennis sobre el macrofitobentos de la Bahía de Cienfuegos, Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. 30(3):175–185. Available from: http://ojs.uh.cu/InvestigacionesMarinas/index.php/RIM
- Navarro Z, Álvarez-Alemán A, Castelblanco-Martínez ND. 2014. Componentes de la dieta en tres individuos de manatí en Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. 34:1–11. Available from: http://ojs.uh.cu/InvestigacionesMarinas/index.php/RIM

Phillips RC, Meñez EG. 1988. Seagrasses. Smithson Contrib Mar Sci. 34:1-104.

- Planos Gutiérrez E, Rivero Vega R, Guevara Velazco V. 2013. Impacto del cambio climático y medidas de adaptación en Cuba. La Habana: Editorial AMA.
- Puga R, Piñeiro R, Alzugaray R, Cobas LS, de León ME, Morales O. 2013. Integrating anthropogenic and climatic factors in the assessment of the Caribbean spiny lobster (*Panulirus argus*) in Cuba: implications for fishery management. Int J Mar Sci. 3:36–45.
- Regalado EL, Menendez R, Valdés O, Morales RA, Laguna A, Thomas OP, Hernandez Y, Nogueiras C, Kijjoad A. 2012. Phytochemical analysis and antioxidant capacity of BM-21, a bioactive extract rich in polyphenolic metabolites from the sea grass *Thalassia testudinum*. Nat Prod Commun. 7(1):47–50.
- Sauget JS. 1946. Flora de Cuba. Contribuciones ocasionales del Museo de Historia Natural del Colegio de La Salle. 1:1–441.
- Short FT, Moore GE, Peyton KA. 2010. *Halophila ovalis* in the Tropical Atlantic Ocean. Aquat Bot. 93:141–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2010.05.001
- Sierra LM, Claro R, Popova O. 2001. Trophic biology of the marine fishes of Cuba. In: Claro R, Lindeman KC, Parenti LR, editors. Ecology of the marine fishes of Cuba. Washington-London: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Suárez AM, Fraga I, Muñoz L, Mirabal A, Brito M. 1990. Estudio de la alimentación del cobo (*Strombus gigas* L.) en la costa norte de Matanzas, Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. 11(1):27–34.
- Suárez AM, Martínez-Daranas B, Alfonso Y. 2015. Macroalgas marinas de Cuba. La Habana: Editorial UH.
- Suárez AM, Martínez-Daranas B, Bermejo MG, Volta R. 2013. Macroalgas del golfo de Ana María, SE de Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. 33(2):1–6. Accessed 27 January, 2014. Available from: http://ojs.uh.cu/InvestigacionesMarinas/index.php/RIM
- Tor<u>res Conde EG, Martínez-Daranas B. 2017. Los pa</u>stos marinos del Golfo de de Guanahacabibes, Pinar del Río, Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. In press.
- Urquiola Cruz AJ, Novo Carbo R. 2009. Cymodoceaceae. Flora de Cuba. Serie A. Plantas Vasculares. Liechtenstein. A. R. Gantner Verlag KG. 15(6):3–10.
- Urquiola Cruz AJ, Pérez Hernández V. 2009. Hydrocharitaceae. Flora de Cuba. Serie A. Plantas vasculares. Liechtenstein. A. R. Gantner Verlag KG. 15(7):3–23.
- Valentine JF, Duffy JE. 2006. The central role of grazing in seagrass ecology. *In:* Larkum AWD, Orth RJ, Duarte CM, editors. Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer. p. 463–501.
- van Tussenbroek BI, Barba Santos MG, Ricardo Wong JG, Van Dijk JK, Waycott M. 2010. Guía de los pastos marinos tropicales del Atlántico oeste. México, D. F.: UNAM.
- van Tussenbroek BI, Cortés J, Collin R, Fonseca AC, Gayle PMH, Guzmán HM, Jácome GE, Juman R, Koltes KH, Oxenford HA, et al. 2014. Caribbean-wide, long-term study of seagrass beds reveals local variations, shifts in community structure and occasional collapse. PLoS One. 9(3):e90600. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090600
- Ventura Díaz Y, Rodríguez Cueto Y. 2012. Hábitats del golfo de Ana María identificados mediante el empleo de procesamiento digital de imágenes. Rev Invest Mar. 32(2):1–8. Accessed 24 October, 2013. Available from: http://ojs.uh.cu/InvestigacionesMarinas/index.php/RIM
- Waycott M, Duarte CM, Carruthers TJB, Orth RJ, Dennison WC, Olyarnik S, Calladine A, Fourqurean JW, Kenneth L, Heck J, et al. 2009. Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the

globe threatens coastal ecosystems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 106(30):12377–12381. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0905620106

Zayas CR, Suárez AM, Ocaña FA. 2006. Abundancia y diversidad de especies del fitobentos de playa Guardalavaca, Cuba. Rev Invest Mar. 27(2):87–93.

